

Linear algebra in lattices, the Fitting lemma

Jenő Szigeti

ABSTRACT. Lattice theoretical generalizations of some classical linear algebra results are formulated. A vector space is replaced by its subspace lattice and a linear map is replaced by the induced lattice map. This map is a complete join homomorphism and satisfies some additional natural conditions. The object of our study is a pair consisting of a complete lattice and one of its complete join endomorphisms. We prove the Fitting lemma for such a pair.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The purpose of this paper is to develop linear algebra for a pair (L, λ) satisfying some of the so called JNB conditions, here $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \rightarrow L$ is a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$. A lattice theoretical Jordan normal base theorem for a nilpotent λ was proved in [3]. In the present work we continue the investigations started in [3], to provide a self contained treatment, we repeat the proof of a lemma which we need from [3].

Let R be a ring and $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ an R -endomorphism of the unitary left R -module ${}_R M$ (a vector space together with a linear map also can be considered). For a submodule $N \leq M$ let $\varphi(N) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in N\}$ denote the φ -image of N . Clearly, $\varphi(N) \leq M$ is an R -submodule and for any family $N_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ of R -submodules in M we have

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(N_\gamma).$$

If $X, Y \leq M$ are R -submodules with $X \subseteq Y$ and $\varphi(X) = \varphi(Y)$, then

$$X + (Y \cap \ker(\varphi)) = Y$$

and $\varphi(Y \cap \ker(\varphi)) = \{0\}$ holds for the R -submodule $Y \cap \ker(\varphi) \leq M$.

If $X \leq M$ is an R -submodule with $X \subseteq \varphi(N)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(X) = \{u \in M \mid \varphi(u) \in X\}$ and we have $\varphi(Z) = X$ for the R -submodule $Z = N \cap \varphi^{-1}(X) \subseteq N$ of M .

The above observations were used in [3] to formulate the so called JNB2 and JNB3 properties of the map λ (or of the pair (L, λ)), where

$$L = \text{Sub}({}_R M)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B23, 06C10, 15A21, 16D60.

Key words and phrases. complete lattice, complete join homomorphism, JNB conditions, semisimple module.

This work was supported by OTKA of Hungary No. T043034 and K61007.

is the complete (and modular) lattice of the R -submodules of M with respect to the containment relation $\subseteq$ and

$$\lambda(N) = \varphi(N)$$

is the induced $L \longrightarrow L$ complete $\vee$ -homomorphism (we note that $\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma$).

2. FINITE ATOMIC BASE IN A LATTICE

Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a lattice with $\leq_L$ ($<_L$) being the induced (strict) partial order on L . A finite set $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of atoms in L is called *independent*, if

$$a_{\pi(1)} <_L a_{\pi(1)} \vee a_{\pi(2)} <_L \dots <_L a_{\pi(1)} \vee a_{\pi(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\pi(n)}$$

is a strictly ascending chain for some permutation π of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. An *atomic base* of L is an independent set of atoms $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ with $a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n = 1$.

If $1 \in L$ is a join of atoms and $1 = b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_m$, ($b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ are atoms in L) with m being the smallest possible, then $b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_m$ is an irredundant join and

$$b_{\sigma(1)} <_L b_{\sigma(1)} \vee b_{\sigma(2)} <_L \dots <_L b_{\sigma(1)} \vee b_{\sigma(2)} \vee \dots \vee b_{\sigma(m)}$$

is strictly ascending for any choice of the permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus $\{b_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is an atomic base of L .

The following trivial statement about the join of atoms is already present in [3].

2.1.Proposition. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ be a lattice with the following properties.*

- (i) *The cover relation $x \triangleleft x \vee a$ holds for all $x \in L$ and for all atoms $a \in L$ with $x \neq x \vee a$ (atomic cover property, it is satisfied in upper semimodular lattices).*
- (ii) *Any two composition series of the form*

$$0 = x_0 \triangleleft x_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_n \text{ and } 0 = y_0 \triangleleft y_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft y_m$$

with $x_n = y_m$ are of the same length: $n = m$.

If $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an independent set of atoms in L , then $a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ is an irredundant join (or equivalently

$$a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$

is a direct sum).

Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$. The *image* and the *kernel* of λ can be defined as follows:

$$w = \text{im}(\lambda) = \lambda(1) \text{ and } z = \ker(\lambda) = \bigvee \{x \in L \mid \lambda(x) = 0\}.$$

Clearly, the $\vee$ -property of λ ensures that $\lambda(x) \leq_L \lambda(1) = w$ for all $x \in L$ and that $\lambda(x) = 0 \iff x \leq_L z$.

Now we repeat the formulation of the properties (mentioned in the introduction) of the induced map $N \mapsto \varphi(N)$ in the language of lattices. We keep the notations JNB2 and JNB3 of [3].

JNB2: For any choice of the elements $x \leq_L y$ with $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ we can find an element $u \in L$ such that $y = x \vee u$ and $\lambda(u) = 0$.

JNB3: For any $x \in L$ the map $\lambda : [0, x] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda(x)]$ is surjective.

2.2.Lemma. *If every element of L is a join of atoms and λ satisfies JNB3, then any atom $a \in [0, \lambda(1)]$ can be written as $a = \lambda(a^*)$, where $a^* \in L$ is an atom.*

Proof. Since $\lambda : [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda(1)]$ is surjective, we can find an element $x \in L$ with $a = \lambda(x)$. Now we have $x = \vee \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$, where each $b_i \in L$ is an atom. Clearly, $b_i \leq_L x$ implies that $0 \leq_L \lambda(b_i) \leq_L \lambda(x) = a$. For an index $i \in I$, we have either $\lambda(b_i) = 0$ or $\lambda(b_i) = a$. If $\lambda(b_i) = 0$ holds for all $i \in I$, then we obtain

$$a = \lambda(x) = \lambda(\bigvee_{i \in I} b_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda(b_i) = 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus $\lambda(b_j) = a$ holds for some $j \in I$. $\square$

2.3.Proposition. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism such that $\lambda(0) = 0$. Consider the interval sublattices $[0, w]$ and $[0, z]$ of L with $w = \text{im}(\lambda)$ and $z = \ker(\lambda)$.*

If $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ is independent set of atoms in $[0, z]$ and $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is a set of atoms in L such that $\{\lambda(a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is an independent set of atoms (in $[0, w]$), then

$$\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\} \cup \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$$

is independent in L .

If λ satisfies JNB2, $b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_q = z$ and $\lambda(a_1) \vee \lambda(a_2) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_p) = \lambda(1)$, then

$$b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_q \vee a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p = 1,$$

i.e. $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\} \cup \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is an atomic base of L .

Proof. Now we have strictly ascending chains

$$b_{\alpha(1)} <_L b_{\alpha(1)} \vee b_{\alpha(2)} <_L \dots <_L b_{\alpha(1)} \vee b_{\alpha(2)} \vee \dots \vee b_{\alpha(q)} = b$$

in $[0, z]$ and

$$\lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) <_L \lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(2)}) <_L \dots <_L \lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(2)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(p)})$$

in $[0, w]$. We claim that

$$b <_L b \vee a_{\beta(1)} <_L b \vee a_{\beta(1)} \vee a_{\beta(2)} <_L \dots <_L b \vee a_{\beta(1)} \vee a_{\beta(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\beta(p)}$$

is also strictly ascending. Indeed $1 \leq i \leq p$ and

$$b \vee a_{\beta(1)} \vee a_{\beta(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\beta(i-1)} = b \vee a_{\beta(1)} \vee a_{\beta(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\beta(i)}$$

would imply that

$$a_{\beta(i)} \leq_L b \vee a_{\beta(1)} \vee a_{\beta(2)} \vee \dots \vee a_{\beta(i-1)}.$$

Since $b \in [0, z]$ ensures that $\lambda(b) = 0$, the $\vee$ -property of λ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a_{\beta(i)}) &\leq_L \lambda(b) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(2)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(i-1)}) = \\ &= \lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(2)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(i-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(i-1)}) = \lambda(a_{\beta(1)}) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(i-1)}) \vee \lambda(a_{\beta(i)})$$

follows, a contradiction.

If $\lambda(a_1) \vee \lambda(a_2) \vee \dots \vee \lambda(a_p) = \lambda(1)$, then we have

$$\lambda(a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p) = \lambda(1)$$

and JNB2 implies that

$$(a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p) \vee u = 1$$

holds for some $u \in L$ with $\lambda(u) = 0$. Since $u \leq_L z$, we have

$$b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_q \vee a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p = z \vee (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_p) = 1$$

proving that $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\} \cup \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is an atomic base of L . $\square$

2.4.Theorem. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$ such that λ satisfies JNB2 and JNB3. Assume that every element of L is a join of atoms and consider the interval sublattices $[0, w]$ and $[0, z]$ of L with $w = \text{im}(\lambda)$ and $z = \ker(\lambda)$. If $[0, w]$ has a p element atomic base and $[0, z]$ has a q element atomic base, then we can find a $p+q$ element atomic base in L .*

Proof. If $\{c_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is a p element atomic base of $[0, w]$, then Lemma 2.2 ensures the existence of atoms $a_i \in L$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ such that $\lambda(a_i) = c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. Take a q element atomic base $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ of $[0, z]$, then $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\} \cup \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is a $p+q$ element atomic base of L by Proposition 2.3. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 2.4 is the generalization of the well known

$$\dim(\text{im} \varphi) + \dim(\ker \varphi) = \dim V$$

property of the linear map $\varphi : V \longrightarrow V$.

3. THE FITTING LEMMA

3.1.Proposition. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : L \longrightarrow L$ complete $\vee$ -homomorphisms with $\lambda_1(0) = \lambda_2(0) = 0$. Then $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2 : L \longrightarrow L$ is a complete $\vee$ -homomorphisms with $(\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2)(0) = 0$ and we have the following implications.*

- (1) *If λ_1 and λ_2 satisfy JNB3, then $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2$ satisfies JNB3.*
- (2) *If λ_1 satisfies JNB2, λ_2 satisfies JNB2 and JNB3, then $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2$ satisfies JNB2.*
- (3) *If λ_1 and λ_2 both satisfy JNB2 and JNB3, then $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2$ satisfies JNB2 and JNB3.*

Proof.

1. If $x \in L$, then $\lambda_2 : [0, x] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda_2(x)]$ and $\lambda_1 : [0, \lambda_2(x)] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda_1(\lambda_2(x))]$ are surjective maps, thus their composition $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2 : [0, x] \longrightarrow [0, \lambda_1(\lambda_2(x))]$ is also surjective.

2. If $x \leq_L y$ and $\lambda_1(\lambda_2(x)) = \lambda_1(\lambda_2(y))$ holds for $x, y \in L$, then $\lambda_2(x) \leq_L \lambda_2(y)$ and JNB2 of λ_1 gives an element $u \in L$ such that $\lambda_2(y) = \lambda_2(x) \vee u$ and $\lambda_1(u) = 0$. Now $u \in [0, \lambda_2(y)]$ and JNB3 of λ_2 gives an element $v \in [0, y]$ such that $\lambda_2(v) = u$. In view of $x \vee v \leq_L y$ and

$$\lambda_2(x \vee v) = \lambda_2(x) \vee \lambda_2(v) = \lambda_2(x) \vee u = \lambda_2(y)$$

JNB2 of λ_2 gives an element $w \in L$ such that $(x \vee v) \vee w = y$ and $\lambda_2(w) = 0$. Thus $x \vee (v \vee w) = y$ and

$$\lambda_1(\lambda_2(v \vee w)) = \lambda_1(\lambda_2(v) \vee \lambda_2(w)) = \lambda_1(u \vee 0) = \lambda_1(u) = 0$$

prove the JNB2 property of $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2$. $\square$

3.2.Corollary. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$. If λ satisfies JNB2 and JNB3, then any power λ^k satisfies JNB2 and JNB3.*

3.3.Proposition. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$. We have the following implications.*

- (1) $\text{im}(\lambda^k) = \text{im}(\lambda^{k+1})$ implies that $\text{im}(\lambda^i) = \text{im}(\lambda^k)$ for all integers $i \geq k$.
- (2) $\ker(\lambda^k) = \ker(\lambda^{k+1})$ implies that $\ker(\lambda^i) = \ker(\lambda^k)$ for all integers $i \geq k$.
- (3) If λ satisfies JNB2, then $\text{im}(\lambda) = \text{im}(\lambda^2)$ implies that $\text{im}(\lambda) \vee \ker(\lambda) = 1$.
- (4) If λ satisfies JNB3, then $\ker(\lambda) = \ker(\lambda^2)$ implies that $\text{im}(\lambda) \wedge \ker(\lambda) = 0$.

Proof.

1. Clearly, $\lambda^k(1) = \lambda^{k+1}(1)$ implies that $\lambda^{k+1}(1) = \lambda^{k+2}(1)$.
2. Take $x = \ker(\lambda^{k+2})$, then $\lambda^{k+1}(\lambda(x)) = \lambda^{k+2}(x) = 0$ implies $\lambda(x) \leq_L \ker(\lambda^{k+1})$, whence $\lambda(x) \leq_L \ker(\lambda^k)$ can be obtained. Thus $x \leq_L \ker(\lambda^{k+1})$ is a consequence of $\lambda^{k+1}(x) = \lambda^k(\lambda(x)) = 0$. Since $\ker(\lambda^{k+1}) \leq_L \ker(\lambda^{k+2})$ is obvious, we get $\ker(\lambda^{k+1}) = \ker(\lambda^{k+2})$.
3. Using $w = \text{im}(\lambda) = \lambda(1)$ and $z = \ker(\lambda)$, we have

$$\lambda(w \vee z) = \lambda(w) \vee \lambda(z) = \lambda(\lambda(1)) \vee 0 = \lambda^2(1) = \lambda(1).$$

The JNB2 property of λ gives that $(w \vee z) \vee u = 1$ and $\lambda(u) = 0$ for some $u \in L$. Thus $u \leq_L z$ and $w \vee z = (w \vee z) \vee u = 1$.

4. Take $y = \text{im}(\lambda) \wedge \ker(\lambda)$, then $y \leq_L \lambda(1)$ and thus $y \in [0, \lambda(1)]$. The JNB3 property of λ ensures the existence of an element $v \in L$ such that $\lambda(v) = y$. Now $y \leq_L \ker(\lambda)$ implies that $\lambda^2(v) = \lambda(y) = 0$, whence $v \leq_L \ker(\lambda^2)$ can be derived. Since $\ker(\lambda^2) = \ker(\lambda)$, we have $y = \lambda(v) = 0$. $\square$

3.4.Theorem. *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a complete lattice and $\lambda : L \longrightarrow L$ a complete $\vee$ -homomorphism with $\lambda(0) = 0$ such that λ satisfies JNB2 and JNB3. If L is both Artinian and Noetherian (or L is of finite height), then there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $\text{im}(\lambda^r) \oplus \ker(\lambda^r) = 1$ (i.e. $\text{im}(\lambda^r) \vee \ker(\lambda^r) = 1$ and $\text{im}(\lambda^r) \wedge \ker(\lambda^r) = 0$).*

Proof. The Artinian condition ensures that

$$\text{im}(\lambda) \geq_L \text{im}(\lambda^2) \geq_L \dots \geq_L \text{im}(\lambda^i) \geq_L \dots$$

is not a strictly descending chain, thus $\text{im}(\lambda^k) = \text{im}(\lambda^{k+1})$ holds for some integer $k \geq 1$.

The Noetherian condition ensures that

$$\ker(\lambda) \leq_L \ker(\lambda^2) \leq_L \dots \leq_L \ker(\lambda^l) \leq_L \dots$$

is not a strictly ascending chain, thus $\ker(\lambda^l) = \ker(\lambda^{l+1})$ holds for some integer $l \geq 1$.

Take $r = \max\{k, l\}$, then

$$\text{im}(\lambda^r) = \text{im}(\lambda^{2r}) \text{ and } \ker(\lambda^r) = \ker(\lambda^{2r})$$

by part 1 and part 2 of Proposition 3.3, respectively. In view of Corollary 3.2, the power λ^r satisfies JNB2 and JNB3. Since $\lambda^{2r} = (\lambda^r)^2$, the application of part 3 and part 4 of Proposition 3.3 give that $\text{im}(\lambda^r) \vee \ker(\lambda^r) = 1$ and $\text{im}(\lambda^r) \wedge \ker(\lambda^r) = 0$. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 3.4 is the generalization of the well known Fitting lemma. We note that a completely different lattice theoretical Fitting lemma can be found in [2], it can be considered as an analogue and not a generalization of the classical result.

REFERENCES

- (1) Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R.: *Rings and Categories of Modules*, GTM Vol. 13, Springer Verlag, New York, 1974.
- (2) Körtesi, P. and Szigeti, J.: *A general approach to the Fitting lemma*, Mathematika (London) Vol. 52 (2005), 155-160.
- (3) Szigeti, J.: *The Jordan normal base in lattices and nilpotent endomorphisms of finitely generated semisimple modules*, submitted

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISKOLC, MISKOLC, HUNGARY 3515
E-mail address: jeno.szigeti@uni-miskolc.hu